

Appendix C., Table 1.

Summary Data for Sites Recorded on the 1972-1974 Basic Quadrat Survey of the Cedar Mesa Project

Introductory Information

This table summarizes some characteristics of the sites recorded during the 1972-1974 Basic Quadrat Survey portion of the Cedar Mesa Project. That portion of the Cedar Mesa Project focused on intensive survey of a sample of 400 X 400 m quadrats located at random within five selected drainage units.

The Basic Quadrat Survey is characterized in the Prologue and in Chapter II of this volume. The sites recorded in that survey are listed here by their field number. The field number for a site indicates the drainage unit, quadrat number within drainage unit, and site number within quadrat. So site B-5-7 designates the seventh site located in the fifth quadrat in the Bullet drainage unit. The research design for the survey required that we track information separately from any portion of a site that extended outside the boundaries of a survey quadrat. Thus B-5-7A would refer to a portion of this site that extended beyond the quadrat limit. In some cases, there is a gap in the sequence of field numbers because a site initially designated in the field was found to be part of another site, or was determined to be just one or a few "off-site" isolated occurrences of artifacts.

The abbreviations for drainage units are B = Bullet, HS = Hardscrabble, NR = North Road, UGG = Upper Grand, and WJ = West Johns. The drainage units and the locations of the quadrats used to sample them are shown in Fig. III-2 of the main report.

The Smithsonian state-based site number corresponding to each field number is listed in the column labeled "42SA No." The state of Utah is indicated by the "42" and San Juan County by the "SA". Copies of the state survey forms submitted after completion of the quadrat survey were checked at the Edge of the Cedars Museum in Blanding to verify the correspondence of particular Cedar Mesa Project field numbers and 42SA numbers. In a few cases, no corresponding site form was found and the lack of a gap in the 42SA number sequence indicates that a form may not have been submitted. In a few others, the correspondence could not be verified from these records, but the 42SA number is inferred based on the position of the site in the run of state numbers. Question marks are used to indicate that the existence of a corresponding state site form was not physically verified.

Surface artifacts observed on sites encountered in the quadrat survey were collected, and ordinarily each artifact was given a separate FS number. In Table C-1, the column labeled "FS Catalog Run" shows the FS numbers assigned to each site. The numbers run from 1 to n for the quadrat as a whole, rather than starting over at 1 for each individual site. So most sites listed below will not have catalog runs starting with FS 1. The column labeled "FS totals" provides the sum of the FS numbers assigned to the site.

The abbreviations used for the column labeled "Type Items Collected" are "C" for ceramics, "L" for lithics, and "O" for organic.

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

In the columns labeled "Phase Assignments and Comments" and "Phase", we give our best estimate of the time placement of the site. For the earlier part of the sequence, we use the period designations BM II and BM III for Basketmaker II and III, respectively. The Pueblo II-III period is subdivided into four phases, as follows:

WG = Windgate (ca. 1060-1100 AD; Mesa Verde tradition ceramics dominant but with numerous Kayenta tradition occurrences)
CH = Clay Hills (ca. 1100-1150; Kayenta tradition ceramics dominant)
WS = Woodenshoe (ca. 1165-1210; Mesa Verde ceramics dominant)
RH = Red House (ca. 1210-1270; Mesa Verde ceramics dominant)

Date ranges shown here are as in Matson et al. (1988). The temporal sequence for Cedar Mesa is discussed in more detail in the main body of the report, especially in Chapters V, VI, and VII. In the table, if it could be determined that a site had a Pueblo II-III component, but there was not enough information to estimate the phase, this is usually indicated by the notation "? Pueblo". If it was questionable whether or not a Pueblo component existed at all, the notation "Pueblo ?" was generally used. Typically, when two (or in a few cases, three) phase assignments are listed, this indicates that there was enough evidence to narrow the temporal assignment down to these phases, but not enough to allow for a choice among them. In some such cases, the accompanying comments indicate which of the several phases listed is the most plausible assignment.

A site area estimate is shown in the last column for some sites. For some reason this task was not completed for all the sites at the time these notes were prepared (in the late 1970s).

Several of the sites recorded by the Basic Quadrat Survey and summarized here were selected for limited test excavations (see Prologue for brief description of the testing program). FS runs and counts for collections made during those excavations are not included in this table.

Reference Cited

Matson, R.G., William D. Lipe and William R. Haase IV
1988 Adaptational Continuities and Occupational Discontinuities: The Cedar Mesa Anasazi. Journal of Field Archaeology 15(3):245-264.

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	1	1	4079		48-896	849	C, L	RH, based on original seriation	RH	
B	1	2	4080	no B1-3	898-1149	252	C, L	Small Pueblo component, possibly matter from site 1. Probably RH, based on inspection and closeness of site 1-1. Main component here is BM II	BM II, RH	8000
B	1	4	4081		1150-1238	89	C, L	CH, based on original seriation	CH?	
B	1	5	4082		1239-1305	67	C, L	CH, based on inspection and combination with 5A	CH?	
B	1	5A	4083	Inside Quad B-1	1306-1423	118	C, L	CH, based on inspection and combination with 5	CH?	
B	1	6	4084		1424-1452	29	C, L	? Pueblo based on inspection, might be related to 5 and 5A, but not enough ceramics to tell.	?, Pueblo	
B	1	7	4085		1453-1472	20	C, L	CH based on inspection	CH?	
B	3	1	4086		69-2116	2048	C, L	Dominantly WG, based on original seriation, BM III	WG, BM III	27500
B	3	3	4087?	No B3-2	2117-2199	83	C, L	Pueblo. Mostly single pot. Maybe related to site 1.	RH,WS,or WG ?	
B	3	4	4088		2200-2205	6	C, L	Pueblo, probably. Very little material	Pueblo ?	
B	3	7	4089		2206-4599	2394	C, L	Def. BM III, also strong WG, based on original seriation.	WG, BM III	23750
B	3	9	4090		4600-4626	27	C, L	RH, based on consistent discriminant analysis results, plus inspection. Notes refer to structures S. out of quad. Could these be part of 10A? Not clear.	RH	
B	3	10	4091		4627-4751	125	C, L	WS, based on consistent discriminant analysis results plus inspection	WS	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	3	10A	4092	outside quad 3	4725-8628	3877	C, L	RH based on original seriation.	RH	
B	4	1	4093?		36-154	119	C, L, O	RH, WS Pueblo? Based on discriminant analysis results Pottery confined to one part of site. Rest has thin scatter of lithics. BM II component may be extension of site 2.	BM II RH, WS ?	9000
B	4	2	4094		155-402	248	C, L	Predom. BM II. Pueblo sherds probably float from site 1	BM II	4575
B	4	3	4095		403-410, 412-432	29	C, L	WG,CH Pueblo? Based on inspection. But only 4 sherds. Probably small Pueblo LAS. No definite indication of BM II component	WG,CH?	
B	4	4	4096		411, 433-751 755, 756	321	C, L	CH based on original seriation	CH	
B	4	5	4097		752-754	3	L	?? Too small to call. Some sandstone rubble here	??	
B	4	6	4098		No cat entries	0	none	slab hearth with no associations	??	
B	5	1	4099		37-314, 325-339, 1066-1072	300	L, O	Entirely BM II	BM II	4995
B	5	2	4100		315-324, 340-918, 1074-1075	591	C, L	CH, based on original seriation. Pueblo stuff clustered around Fea. D. Fea A, B, C and surrounding area constitute strong BM II component	BM II, CH	11250
B	5	3	4101		919-925	7	L	?? Too small to call at this point.	??	
B	5	4	4102		926-935	10	L	Very small. Maybe BM II on basis of limestone fragments and no sherds. But lithics all debitage.	??	
B	5	5	4103		936-937	2	L	Too small to call. Slabs, two lithics.	??	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	5	7	4104		938-991, 1073	55	C, L	Def BM III, only 1 def Pueblo sherd. Probable burned house structure.	BM III	375
B	5	8	4105		992-1065	74	C, L	BM II? Only 1 sherd vs. 73 lithics. No limestone reported.	BM II?	2080
B	6	2	4106		63-70	8	C, L	Only 1 sherd (Chapin). Notes report plain gray pottery and possible pithouse just outside quad. No outside of quad excavations made.	BM III?	300
B	6	3	4107		959-1202, 1208-1869	906	C, L	WS, based on original seriation.	WS	
B	6	4	4108		71-958	888	C, L	Probable BM III component. Strong WG, based on original seriation	WG, BM III?	3825
B	6	5	4109		1870-1919	50	C, L	Def BM III. WG or CH Pueblo? Very small Pueblo component	BM III, WG, CH?	1265
B	6	6	4110		1920-2003	84	C, L, O	Def BM III. Only 2 Pueblo sherds out of 40, these would be float from 6-4 or 6-5	BM III	1100
B	7	1	4111		64-746	670	C, L	Def BM III. WG,CH Pueblo based on inspection. Can't tell which	BM III, WG, CH	
B	7	2	4112		747-927	181	C, L	Predom. BM II, concentrated around Features A and B (N1/2 K6 and S 1/2 of L6). A few BM III sherds assoc. with this component - could be float from site 3. Pueblo stuff is from M6.	BM II, BM III? Pueblo ?	5565
B	7	3	4113		928-987	60	C, L	Def BM III	BM III	2500
B	7	4	4114		988-1003	16	C, L	Small Pueblo component. Maybe WG on basis of San Juan red ware? Maybe too small to call.	WG ?	
B	7	5	4115		1004-1177	174	C, L	RH based on original seriation	RH	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	7	6	4116		1178-1224	47	C, L	WG? Based on inspection.	WG?	
B	8	1	4127	Extension of B10-1	35-38	4	L	BM II based on the fact this is an extension of B10-1, which is BM II	BM II	15
B	8	2	4117		47-357, 523	312	C, L	CH, based on original seriation. May extend into site 5.	CH	
B	8	3	4118		39-46	8	C, L	Pueblo, no diagnostics, based on inspection	Pueblo	
B	8	4	4119		358-410	53	C, L	RH,WS Pueblo on basis of discriminant analysis results. Inspection reveals an unclear situation. Could be mix of RH and CH.	RH,WS,CH?	
B	8	5	4120		411-522	112	C, L	BM II predominant. Pueblo sherds probably represent float from site 2	BM II	3450
B	8	6	4121		524	1	O	Hearth, no artifacts	??	
B	9	1	4122		56-73	18	L	Possible BM II on basis of lithics and limestone	BM II?	80
B	9	3	4123		74-86	13	C, L	Possible Pueblo component on basis of 2 sherds, ash, no limestone.	Pueblo?	
B	9	5	4124		87	1	GS	One hand cobble mano. Also 2 cists or hearths.	??	
B	9	6	4125		88-166, 183-184	81	L, O	BM II. Probably a burial with assoc. corner notched points.	BM II	832
B	9	7	4126		167-182	14	L	BM II. Lithics, hammerstone, core, T-shaped drill, limestone	BM II	620
B	10	1	4127	partly outside quad 10	1739-1836	98	L	BM II. Good evidence	BM II	600

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	10	2	4128		1699-1738	40	C, L	CH, by inspection.	CH	
B	10	3	4129		1837-1856	20	L	BM II. Pretty good evidence. Fairly close to site 5. Prob. separated by arroyo.	BM II	400
B	10	4	4130		1857-1864	8	C, L	Lithics and limestone indicated BM II but site very small. There is one sherd, too.	BM II	112
B	10	5	4131		1865-2045	181	L	BM II definite.	BM II	8184
B	10	6	4132		2046-2073	28	L	All lithics but none diagnostic. No limestone reported. Might be extension of site 5.	??	
B	10	7	4133		43-1016, 1117-1698	1556	C, L	CH, based on original seriation	CH	
B	10	8	4134		2074-2123	50	C, L	Close to site 7, may account for Pueblo sherds, which are all from one part of site. Site notes suggest a BM II component as well.	BM II	2000
B	10	9	4135		2124-2446, 2567	324	C, L	BM II. A few sherds, which are probably float from other sites in the quad.	BM II	2000
B	10	10	4136		2447-2564	118	C, L	BM II. The two sherds are probably float.	BM II	6025
B	11	1	4137		98-100	3	C, L	Hearth with no artifacts. Scattered items; 2 lithics and 1 MV sherd.	??	
B	11	2	4138		101-277	177	C, L	Largely lithics- corner notched points, T-shaped drill. No limestone reported, though. Sherds probably float.	BM II	12065
B	11	3	4139		278-285	8	L	Few artifacts. Appears to be part of very extensive thin scatter, much of which was treated as offsites. These offsite items are lithics too.	??	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	11	4	4140		286-339	54	C,L	Probable BM II component. Scattered Pueblo sherds, very small component, but leans to CH	BM II, CH?	4356
B	11	5	4141		340-414	75	C, L	Possible small burned house (Fea. 8) Notes suggest BM III, but some Pueblo sherds assoc. and putative BM III diagnostics don't look very good.	BM III? Pueblo?	1408
B	11	6	4142		361-363	3	L	Too small to call.	??	
B	11	7	4143		415-425	11	L	To small to call. Lithics, but no diagnostics and no limestone.	??	
B	11	8	4144		426-686	261	C, L	CH, based on discriminant analysis. OK on inspection	CH	
B	11	9	4145		687-690	4	L	Possible hearth, a few flakes. Nothing diagnostic	??	
B	12	1	4146		12-2493, 2745-2752	2485	C,L	WG, based on original seriation. Also definite BM III component. This is a large, extensive and complex site. Should be looked at for possible multiple Pueblo components. Node of BM III concentration is Sq. J-B.	WG, BM III, plus?	34625
B	12	2	??		2494-2526	34	L	Recent historic brush shelter - probably Navaho. Tin cans, some archaeological stuff - probably collected by recent site occupants.	Historic	
B	12	4	4147		2527-2567	41	C, L	RH, on basis of discriminant analysis	RH	
B	12	5	4148		2568-2669	102	L	Probably BM II, limestone not recorded (quad done early in project). Don't know whether true absence or not. Semi-trough metate. No ceramics.	BM II	1800
B	12	6	??		2670	1	GS	Too small and nebulous to call. May be a non-site.	??	
B	12	7	4149		2671-2693	23	C, L	Pueblo, but not clear what component. 9 ceramic items in collection	? Pueblo	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	12	8	4150		2694-2744	51	C, L	WG, based on discriminant analysis.	WG	
B	13	1	4151		No cat entries	0		Hearth, no artifacts	??	
B	13	2	4152		46-54	9	c	Possible WG LAS. Very small collection though.	WG	
B	13	3	4153		55-1154, 1259-1274	1216	C, L	Def BM III. Also WG based on consistent discriminant analysis results, supported by inspection. Small Pueblo component.	BM III, WG	3185
B	13	4	??		1255-1258	4	C, L	Almost a non-site. Some sandstone chunks and a few sherds and lithics.	??	
B	14	1	4157		26-2394	2369	C, L	Large BM II site.	BM II	8320
B	14	2	4156		2395-3318, 3372-3579	1132	C, L	WS, based on original seriation.	WS	
B	14	3	4155		3319-3371	53	L	BM II. Lithics - one "BM" point, limestone, hammerstones, cores.	BM II	3128
B	15	1	4158		79-118	41	C, L	Very loose scatter of artifacts, most sherds from a few locations. (30 sherds total). Sherd frequencies suggestive of RH, check to see if most sherds are from one or two pots.	RH?	
B	15	3	4159		119-168	50	C, L	Def BM III	BM III	424
B	15	4	4160		169-188	20	C, L	Can't tell much about this site. Lithics, one sherd, on edge of quad. No diagnostics.	??	
B	15	6	4161		189-195	7	C, L	Pueblo. Probably float from Fea. 7. There is a hearth here though.	Pueblo	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	15	7	4162		196-666	471	C, L	RH, based on original seriation. Feature B may be another component.	RH	
B	15	8	4163		667-672	6	C	Pueblo? Maybe one pot, maybe from site 7? Only two locations.	??	
B	15	9	4164		673-716	44	C, L	BM II, limestone, lithics.	BM II	
B	15	10	4165		717-784	168	C, L		BM II	812
B	16	1	4166		59-416, 1828-1833	364	C, L	WS, based on original seriation. Also probable BM II, based on field notes. Fea. A is Pueblo, Fea. C may be BM II	WS, BM II	9150
B	16	2	4167		417-429, 442-1426	997	C, L	Primarily large BM II site. Also small BM III component. A few Pueblo sherds too, probably from site 3.	BM II, BM III	10625
B	16	3	4168		430-441, 1427-1547	133	C, L	WG based on consistent discriminant analysis results. Also inspection.	WG	
B	16	4	4169		1548-1760	213	C, L	Definitely BM III, also a small amount of Pueblo material, latter concentrated on east edge of site.	BM III, ? Pueblo	7500
B	16	5	4170		1761-1765	5	C, L	Two hearth (?) features, 2 ceramics and 2 lithics, not far from other sites.	??	
B	16	6	4171		1767-1777	11	C, L	1 Feature, BM + Pueblo sherds, a few lithics, no limestone reported. Too small and confused to call.	??	
B	16	7	4172		No cat entries	0		Ashy soil, no artifacts. No limestone reported.	??	
B	16	8	4173		1778-1792	15	C,L	A few lithics, two gray sherds on edge of quad.	??	
B	16	9	4174		1793-1827	35	C,L	RH by inspection. Part of site is outside quad. That part not fully collected.	RH	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	17	1	4175		10-707	698	C,L	WS, by original seriation	WS	
B	17	2	4176		708-965	258	C,L	Questionable BM III? Main component is WG, based on consistent discriminant analysis results.	WG, BM III?	1225
B	18	1	4177		29-85, 87-332	303	C,L	Definitely BM III, a few Pueblo sherds. Not sure there is a Pueblo component here.	BM III	4250
B	18	2	4178		333-373	41	C,L	Site has very thin diffuse scatter of lithics. But some diagnostic. BM II material.	BM II	11900
B	18	3	4179		374-1417, 1422	1045	C,L	WS, based on original seriation	WS	
B	18	4	4180		1418-1421	4	L	Notes indicate pottery found but it does not show up in catalog. Ashy soil, no limestone recorded.	??	
B	19	1	4181		27-747, 828-1339	1233	C, L, O	Definite BM III, centered on Fea. B. Main occupation RH based on original seriation. Site extensive, numerous hot spots. Might check for small other Pueblo components.	RH, BM III	20000
B	19	2	4182		748-796	49	C, L	Pueblo, probably RH, maybe WS.	RH, WS?	
B	19	3	4183		797-827	31	C, L	Definitely BM III, maybe extension of off-quad site. Only a few Pueblo sherds.	BM III	625
B	20	1	4184		47-99, 106-233, 273-275, 284-285	186	L	No ceramics. Limestone chunks	BM II	2500
B	20	2	4185		234-272	39	L	No ceramics. Limestone chunks, corner notched point	BM II	342
B	20	3A	4186	Outside quad 20	276-283	8	L	Probable BM II site. Collected twice. Only first collection listed here.	BM II	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	21	1	4187		54-470	417	C, L	Definite BM II site. Just a few Pueblo sherds. Could be from site 12.	BM II	7200
B	21	2	4188		471-686	211	C, L	Predominantly BM II. Small Pueblo component, could be WS, WG, or CH.	BM II, WS, WG or CH	14625
B	21	3	4189		687-694	8	L	Very small site. Probably a BM II hot spot.	BM II?	60
B	21	4	4190		696-742	47	C, L	39 corrugated sherds and 2 plain gray.	Pueblo	
B	21	5	4191		no entries			Historic trail marker.	Historic	
B	21	6	4192		743-757	14	C, L	Possible BM III? Very small site.	BM III?	228
B	21	7	4193		758-819	62	L		BM II	500
B	21	10	4194		820-1117	298	C, L	RH, based on original seriation.	RH	
B	21	11	4195		1118-1212	95	L		BM II	1744
B	21	12	4196		1213-1234	22	C,L	Small Pueblo site, probably RH or WS, could be WG.	RH,WS,WG?	
B	21	13	4197		1234-1271	38	L		BM II	540
B	21	14	4198		1272-1274	3	C, L	Too small to call.	??	
B	22	1	4199		28-36	9	C, L		??	4044
B	22	2	4200		37-1999	1963	C, L	RH, on basis of original seriation. Also definite BM III component.	RH, BM III	
B	22	3	4201		2000-2130	131	C, L	RH, based on consistent discriminant analysis results.	RH	
B	22	4	4202		No entries	granary		These sites are two adjacent granaries. Poor preservation. No associated cultural material.	Pueblo	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
B	22	5	4202		no entries	granary		These sites are two adjacent granaries. Poor preservation. No associated cultural material.	Pueblo	
B	22	6	4203		2131-2132	2	C, L	Granary. Relatively well preserved. 2 M.V. corrugated sherds.	Pueblo	
B	22	7	4204		no entries	cist			Pueblo	
B	22	8	4205		2134	1	O	Slab cists. Good possibility they are BM II or III. But no supporting evidence.	??	
HS	1	no sites								
HS	2	1	3964		18-27	10	L	Small corner notched point, one hand mano. No limestone or slab structures reported. Field people thought BM II. Few items present.	BM II?	100
HS	2	2	3965		28-96	69	L	Appears to be definite BM II site.	BM II	1050
HS	2	2A	3965	Outside quad 2	97-277	201	L	Appears to be definite BM II site.	BM II?	
HS	3	1	3966		6-102	97	C, L	RH, based on consistent discriminant analysis results. Total ceramic collection is only 8 sherds, though - no corrugated. Also quite a few lithics - no real diagnostics. No clear BM II component.	RH?	
HS	4	1	3967		51-234	184	C, L	Definite BM III, based on cluster of Lino sherds around Fea. A. Thin scatter of corrugated sherds elsewhere. Lots of lithics in S. half of site but no clear BM II component.	BM III, Pueblo	4654
HS	4	2	3968		235-237	3	L	To small to classify.	??	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
HS	4	3	3969		238-245	8	L	Probable small BM II site on basis of fragments of large corner-notched point. Small collection. No limestone noted.	BM II?	441
HS	5	1	3970		31-63	43	L	Site is in washes. No limestone or structures reported. No diagnostics.	BM II?	100
HS	5	2	3971		64-252	189	L	Probable BM II. Has limestone chunks, quite a few lithics. Lacks diagnostic artifacts.	BM II?	900
HS	5	3	3972		253-390	138	L	Probable BM II, on basis of large side-notched point, and T-shaped drill. No limestone reported.	BM II	1500
HS	6	1	3973		No cat entries	hearth		Nothing to go on here.	??	
HS	7	1	3976		9-12	4	L	It does have a one-hand mano and some limestone chunks, but it is too small to classify.	??	
HS	7	1A	3976	Off quad 7	13	1	L	It does have a one-hand mano and some limestone chunks, but it is too small to classify.	??	
HS	7	2	3975		14	1	L	Slab structure, river cobble and limestone chunks. Could be BM II but lacks sufficient evidence.	BM II?	
HS	8	1	3988	mostly outside the quad	40-92	53	L	BM II on basis of limestone, basin metate, no ceramics.	BM II	810
HS	8	3	3977		93-250	158	C, L	WS, on basis of consistent discriminant analysis results and inspection. No evidence of BM II component	WS	
HS	9	no sites								

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
HS	10	no sites								
HS	11	1	3978		9-493	485	L	Good BM II site on basis of points, limestone, no ceramics, etc.	BM II	1825
HS	11	1A	3978	Off quad 11	494-1036	543	L		BM II	
HS	11	2	3979		1037-2362	1327	L	Good BM II site. Numerous diagnostics.	BM II	4125
HS	11	3	3980		2363-2448	86	L	Probable BM II site.	BM II	500
HS	12	no sites								
HS	13	1	3981		42-157	116	C, L	Ceramic component confined to Fea. A appears to be Pueblo RH or WS. Rest of site is lithics. No limestone but does have large BM style point and several large fragments.	BM II? RH or WS	2160
HS	13	2	3982		158-188	31	L	Site is non-ceramic, but collection is small and there is no limestone or other BM II diagnostic material.	BM II?	1050
HS	13	3	3983		no cat entries	arch feature			??	
HS	13	4	3984		no cat entries	hearth			??	
HS	14	1	3985		17-31	15	C, L	Mostly San Juan red ware. So probably WG, based on inspection. May be extension of Site 2.	WG	
HS	14	2	3986, 3987		32-1344	1313	C, L	Definite BM III, mostly west part of site. Weaker WG, based on sherds.	BM III WG?	15000

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
UGG	1	1	4039		101-227	127	C, L	CH, pretty consistent discriminant analysis, inspection confirms.	CH	
UGG	2	1	4040		63-64	2	C	Hearth with 2 unidentified MV white ware sherd tempered sherds = Pueblo ??	Pueblo?	
UGG	2	2	4041		65-1213, 1677-1678	1151	C, L, O	Definitely BM III, all sections of site with a weak WG? in grid I-1. 500+ BM III sherds, 49 Pueblo. WG? by inspection.	BM III, WG?	6715
UGG	2	4	4042		1214-1430	217	C, L	Definitely BM III, with 50+ sherds, 30 Pueblo undiagnostic. BM III concentrated in F4, Pueblo scattered.	BM III, ?Pueblo	6632
UGG	2	6	4043		1431-1592	162	C, L, O	WG, not consistent discriminant analysis results, but inspection indicates most likely. Nothing else present.	WG?	
UGG	2	7	4044		1593-1676	83	C, L	Definitely BM III, small (~16 sherds) but good. ~7 Pueblo sherds considered float.	BM III	255
UGG	3	no sites								
UGG	4	1	4045		91-134	44	C, L	BM II, lithics and limestone, no points etc. 6 sherds are float from 4-3	BM II	2538
UGG	4	2	4046		135-158	24	C, L	An extension of UGG 2-2, BM III with some Pueblo float	BM III	900
UGG	4	3	4047		159-14268	14110	C, L, O	Very large complex site. Large CH (original seriation) component with large BM III component (Fea. F, C, A, B+?)	CH, BM III	67580
UGG	4	3A	4047	outside quad	14269-14290	32	C, L, O	Continuation of BM III area of site 3 outside of the quad.	BM III	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
UGG	4	4	4048		14291-14360, 14484-14485	72	C, L, O	Definitely BM III, nothing else.	BM III	313
UGG	4	5	4049					2M x .5M rectangular fire box (slab). No artifacts, charcoal present.	??	
UGG	4	6	4050		14361-14479	119	C, L	RH,WS Pueblo? Inconsistent discriminant analysis. High MV mineral paint, but 3,4 appears impossible. Small sample.	RH, WS?	
UGG	4	7	4051		14480-14483	4	L, O	Hearth and lithics and limestone, too small to call. Only three items in catalog, 2 flakes.	??	
UGG	5	1	4052		104-140	37	L	No diagnostic lithics, no limestone noted, still no ceramics. BM II?	BM II?	300
UGG	5	2	4053, 4054		141-884	744	C, L, O	Definitely BM III ~342+ sherds, strongest in G-5. Weak WS ~52 sherds, strongest in F.3. WS consistent discriminant analysis and inspection	BM III, WS	7500
UGG	5	3	4055		885-1303	419	C, L, O	1 sherd, 400+ lithics, limestone, good BM II lithics	BM II	7857
UGG	5	4	4056		1304-1335	32	C, L	Pueblo, one dropped corrugated QTZ+ other temper jar. Nothing else	? Pueblo	
UGG	6	1	4057		34-2721	2688	C, L, O	Definite BM III, and primarily WG (original seriation). Very badly disturbed by potting. No obvious concentration of either.	WG, BM III	5000
UGG	6	2	4058		2722-2863	142	C, L	Mainly definite BM III component (63+ sherds) and small (~15) Pueblo component. Main site outside quad, Pueblo assignment best WS by discriminant analysis and inspection.	BM III, WS	5000

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
UGG	6	3	4059		2864-5454	2591	C, L, O	Definitely BM III ~500 sherds, but dominant CH (original seriation) 1200 sherds. Prudden unit, BM III stuff doesn't appear concentrated.	CH, BM III	10000
UGG	7	1	4060		30-51	22	C, L	One sherd, mostly lithics, has limestone and one hand mano	BM II?	260
UGG	7	2	4061		52-121, 1550	71	C, L	Very little of diagnostic value here. There could be BM II as well as Pueblo components.	Pueblo?	3525
UGG	7	3	4062		122-158	37	L	No ceramics, no diagnostic lithics. limestone identified.	BM II?	240
UGG	7	4	4063		159-535	377	C, L	Only one sherd, looks like a good BM II site.	BM II	1871
UGG	7	5	4064		536-613	78	L	Small, but good lithics and limestone. BM II.	BM II	1440
UGG	7	6	4065		614-658	45	C, L	Sherds are in west and probably associated with site 8. Eastern part of site appears to be lithic and probably is BM II. There is limestone here.	BM II?	1248
UGG	7	7	4066		659-678, 1449	21	L	Probably BM II. May just be an extension of site 4. Has limestone, no ceramics.	BM II?	312
UGG	7	8	4067		679-717	39	L	Probable BM II, may be an extension of site 4. Has limestone.	BM II?	500
UGG	7	9	4068		718-1426, 1448	710	C, L, O	CH, original seriation, possible small RH component also.	CH, RH?	
UGG	7	10	4069		1427-1447	21	L	Probable BM II, limestone and lithics.	BM II?	400
UGG	8	1	4070		43-78	36	C, L	No diagnostic stuff. 2 sherds. Area has lots of naturally occurring lithics.	?	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
UGG	8	2	4071		79-124	46	C, L	No diagnostic lithics. 1 sherd. Area has lots of naturally occurring lithics.	?	
UGG	9	1	4072		295-401	107	C, L	Def BM III (small)	BM III	1500
UGG	9	2	4073		402-528, 429-462	161	C, L	RH based on original seriation-- catalog is screwed up-- overlapping FS's	RH	
UGG	9	3	4074		463-604	142	C, L	Pueblo, is there a lithic component? Catalog is screwed up.	? Pueblo	2500
UGG	9	4	4075		605-805	201	C, L	Seven sherds, but predominance of lithics. One T-drill, a core, biface fragments. No limestone reported. Hard to call, but could be BM II.	BM II?	2500
UGG	9	5	4076		806-918	113	C, L	Pueblo?	Pueblo ?	
UGG	9	6	4077		919-1118	200	C, L	29 Pueblo sherds here, rest is lithics. Notes report small quantity of limestone. Site seems quite amorphous. Could be a BM II component here also but evidence is not good.	? Pueblo	
UGG	9	7	4078		1119-1199, 2000-2108	190	L	No diagnostics. Hesitate to call BM II. Maybe lithic workshop site.	?	
NR	1	1	3989		25-33	9	L	Small but has limestone plus large biface knife and pebble hammerstone. Probable BM II	BM II?	300
NR	1	2	3990		34-93	60	C, L	Only 1 sherd. Appears to be good BM II.	BM II	2400
NR	1	3	3991		94-123	30	C, L	Pottery is peculiar, apparently from a few pots. Not definitely BM III or Pueblo	??	
NR	2	1	3992		24-55	32	C, L	Pueblo, but no diagnostics.	? Pueblo	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
NR	2	2	3993		56-160	105	C, L	Only 1 sherd. Pattern of lithics suggests BM II, even though no limestone reported.	BM II	9344
NR	2	3	3994		161-215	55	L	Could be continuation of site 3. Also no limestone reported, but pattern of lithic artifacts suggest BM II. No ceramics.	BM II	5000
NR	2	4	3995		216-285	70	C, L	One sherd. Has limestone, lithic artifacts not strongly indicative. but do support a BM II assignment.	BM II	5000
NR	2	5	3996		286-293	8	L	Only a few items, but it has limestone and no pottery, and a slab-lined hearth. Pattern suggests BM II.	BM II?	740
NR	3	1	3997		71-158	88	L	BM II, may have slab-entry house	BM II	375
NR	3	2	3998		159	1	O	Mortared masonry work. No associated artifacts.	Pueblo	
NR	3	3	??		28-50	23	L	A broken biface and a cluster of sharpening flakes.	?	
NR	4	1	3999		114-391, 3153	279	C, L	Pueblo material concentrated in N. part of sq. C0. Rest of site is dominated by lithics. This plus associated limestone suggests BM II component. Lithics not diagnostic.	BM II, WS or WG	13125
NR	4	2	4000		393-1226, 3155	835	C, L, O	Definitely BM III. Strong WG based on original seriation	WG, BM III	3150
NR	4	3	4001		1227-1346	120	C, L	Small BM III component but looks good. Small possible WG or WS Pueblo component. Probably related it site 2; storage area for site 2.	BM III? WG or WS?	700
NR	4	4	??	Off-quad not collected						

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
NR	4	5	4002		1347-2389	1043	C, L	Definitely BM III ~300 sherds. WS, original seriation ~300 trash only 25% sampled.	BM III, WS	5000
NR	4	6	4003		2390-3152, 3154	764	C, L	CH, original seriation, trash 50% sampled.	CH	
NR	5	1	4004		46-200	155	C, L	Only 3 sherds, limestone, good BM II lithics.	BM II	7325
NR	5	1A	4004	Off-quad	201-315	115	C, L	Extension of 5-1, 6 Chapin sherds. Questionable BM III?	BM II, BM III?	
NR	5	4	4005		316-347	32	C, L	Probably BM III, although only 11 sherds. Very small sample.	BM III?	3625
NR	5	5	4006		348-354	7	L	Limestone and lithics and no ceramics = BM II? No diagnostics but lithics in accord with BM II. Very small sample.	BM II?	1225
NR	5	6	4007		355-592	238	L	A very diffuse site, only lithics found but no diagnostic. Limestone? No structures, hearths. BM II?	BM II?	4965
NR	5	7	4008		593-612	20 + 2 off-quad locations	L	No limestone, no real diagnostics, only lithics. No structures. BM II?	BM II?	320
NR	5	8	4009, 4010		615-1031	417	C, L	Definitely BM III, but only in unit 52 (close to site 4), small # Pueblo sherds in units Q2, Q3, rest apparently BM II (limestone, 1 hand manos, lithics)	BM II, BM III, ?Pueblo	18750
NR	5	9	4011		no entries	--	--	Fallen small sandstone feature, no artifacts.	??	
NR	6	1	4012		36-338	303	C, L	Only 21 sherds; limestone, good BM II lithics. Sherds apparently from site just north of quad.	BM II	13075
NR	6	2	4013		339-566	228	L	Lithics, limestone, BM II	BM II	1025

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
NR	6	2A	4013		567-617	51	L	Continuation of site 2.	BM II	
NR	6	4	4014		618-645	20	C, L	1 ceramic, 1 serrated point, 1 hand mano. BM II? Archaic? No known cultural limestone.	BM II? Archaic?	4400
NR	6	5	4015		646-806	161	L	Good large BM II site, lots of ground stone, no limestone noted. Apparent habitation noted. Archaic point?	BM II	12500
NR	6	5A	4015	inside quad	807-809	3	L	Inside quad, part of site 6-5.	BM II	
NR	6	5B	4015	outside quad	810-812	3	L	Outside quad, part of site 6-5.	BM II	
NR	8	1	4016		1-40	40	C, L	1 sherd, no limestone, lithics undiagnostic. BM II?	BM II?	165
NR	8	2	4017		41-77	37	C	Site completely sherds. Mancos = 36/37. ? Pueblo = pot drop	? Pueblo	
NR	8	3	4018		78-259	182	C, L	WG original seriation, nothing else here.	WG	
NR	8	4	4019		260-434	175	L	BM II, diagnostic lithics and limestone.	BM II	1250
NR	8	5	4020		435-560	126	L	BM II, limestone, lithics look good.	BM II	625
NR	9	1	4021		32-163	132	C, L	Definitely BM III concentrated in units F1, G1. CH on the basis of relatively consistent discriminant analysis and inspection, concentrated in B1, C1.	BM III, CH	
NR	9	2	4022		164-227	64	C, L	22 Pueblo non-decorated sherds and late point. ? Pueblo	? Pueblo	
NR	9	3	4023		228-263	36	C, L	15 Pueblo sherds + lithics and limestone, definitely Pueblo, probably CH (8 Sosi sherds) by inspection.	CH	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
NR	10	1	4024		25-172	148	C, L	Questionable BM III? 7 BM III sherds, all from C4, rest of site good BM II, lithics, limestone, points.	BM II, BM III?	6250
NR	10	2	4025		173-612	440	C, L	CH, based on original seriation	CH	
NR	10	2A	4025	off-quad	613-1711	1099	C, L	Continuation of Site 10-2, trash only 50% collected, CH by original seriation plus possible small BM III component.	CH, BM III?	
NR	10	3	4026		1712-2044	333	C, L	Good BM II, points, limestone, lithics, structures. 30 sherds undoubtedly float from nearby 10-2 and 10-2A.	BM II	2000
NR	10	4	4027, 4028		2045-2177, 2211	134	C, L, O (pollen)	Site mainly good BM II, points, limestone, lithics. On south half 14 sherds--consistent discriminant analysis shows this to be CH. Sherds undoubtedly from NR 10-2.	BM II, CH?	6350
NR	10	5	4029		2178-2210, 2212	34	C, L, O (pollen)	Definite BM III, all sherds (~25) but one are definite BM III, small component.	BM III	1435
NR	10	6	4030		2211	1	O (pollen)	A hearth, no artifacts.	??	
NR	11	1	4031		64-364, 10953-10954	303	C, L, O (pollen)	Possible BM III, ~30 sherds, but mainly WG or CH Pueblo. ~140 sherds, most likely WG by inspection.	WG, CH, BM III?	4685
NR	11	2	4032		365-573	209	C, L	Possible BM III ~11 sherds, but mainly WG by consistent discriminant analysis and inspection (~100 sherds)	WG, BM III?	2789
NR	11	2A	4032	off-quad	574-577	4	C, L	Small extension outside quad too small to call by itself.		
NR	11	3	4033		578-1606, 10866-10873, 10955-10956	1039	C, L, O	Large site with structures, original seriation = WG	WG	
NR	11	4	4034		1607-10699, 10877-10946	10163	C, L, O	Definitely BM III, very large site, but with medium-sized (~400 sherds) WG (original seriation) component concentrated in one area.	BM III, WG	35000

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
NR	11	5	4035		10700-10713	14	C, L	2 BM III sherds, limestone. Too small to call. Probably an extension of 4. BM II? BM III? Not Pueblo.	??	
NR	11	6	??		10714	1	C	A single artifact (Chapin gray); should have been an offsite.	--	
NR	11	7			10715-10723	9	C, L	Nothing diagnostic, 1 sherd, probably an extension of site 4.	??	
NR	11	8	4036		10724-10756	33	C, L	13 Pueblo sherds, no diagnostic lithics, no decorated sherds. ? Pueblo	? Pueblo	
NR	11	10	4037	no NR 11-9	10757-10791	35	C, L	9 sherds, none decorated, some possible BM III, 2 Kana-a Gray, 3 corrugated, no diagnostic lithics.	??	
NR	11	12	4038	no NR 11-11	10792-10865, 10947-10952, 10957-10962	86	C, L, O	41 Ceramics, RH Pueblo by consistent discriminant analysis results and inspection, 2 sandstone slab structures.	RH	
WJ	1	1	4206		16-17	2	L	2 flakes and hearth. ?	?	
WJ	1	2	4207		18-230	213	L	Limestone and good BM II lithics = BM II, no structures	BM II	2025
WJ	1	3	4208		231-263	33	L	Some limestone, lithics. BM II?	BM II?	437
WJ	1	4	4209		264-297	34	L	A little limestone, lithics, No diagnostic lithics or structures.	BM II?	208
WJ	2	1	4210		72-388	317	C, L	RH by original seriation, 2+ sandstone slab structures.	RH	
WJ	2	2	4211		389-474	86	L	limestone and lithics, no structures, while no diagnostics, lithics look good for BM II.	BM II	416
WJ	2	3	4212		475-946	472	C, L	Definitely BM III, most sherds (50 of 58) good BM III. Lots of sandstone structures. Probably nothing else.	BM III	4465

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	2	4	4213		947-1005	59	L	Good diagnostic BM II points, limestone, burned rock midden, slab structures.	BM II	480
WJ	2	6	4214		1006-2228	1223	C, L	WG, original seriation. Structure, 500 sherds.	WG	
WJ	2	7	4215		2229	1	L	Too small to tell, part of site WJ2-7 is outside of quad, could be extension of WJ2-6.	?	
WJ	2	7A	4215	outside quad 7	2230-2277	48	C, L	Small site either WG or CH Pueblo by inspection. Road through middle.	WG, CH	
WJ	2	8	4216		2278-2574	297	C, L	WG by original seriation, 150M south of 2-8 [note--was Site WS 2-7 meant here?], 167 sherds, structures.	WG	
WJ	3	1	4217		39-156	118	L	limestone, good BM II lithics. BM II no structures.	BM II	3182
WJ	4	1	4218		56-324, 1947-1952	274	C, L	Only 6 sherds, on E 1/2 of site, no limestone, no structure, no really diagnostic lithics. BM II?	BM II?	4606
WJ	4	1A	4218	outside quadrat	325-601	277	C, L	Same as 4-1, 1 sherd. BM II?	BM II?	
WJ	4	2	4219		602-621	20	L	Like 1 and 1A, a scatter of non-diagnostic lithics, no limestone or structures.	BM II?	342
WJ	4	3	4220		622-656	35	C, L	No structures, mostly ceramic (~26), definitely Pueblo, probably WG or CH.	WG,CH	
WJ	4	4	4221		657-1946	1290	C, L	WG by original seriation. Inspection of plot shows B2, B3, West 1/2 B4, C4 to be lithic. A lithic work area? BM II? Limestone and large points present.	WG, BM II?	9270
WJ	4	5	4222		1953-1976	24	C, L	8 undiagnostic Pueblo sherds, no diagnostic lithics ? Pueblo.	? Pueblo	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	4	6	4223		1977-1984	8	L	Limestone and typical BM II lithics = small BM II	BM II	552
WJ	4	6A	4223	outside quadrat	1985-1991	7	C, L	Same site as 6A, one sherd probably float from nearby 4-4	BM II	
WJ	4	7	4224		1992-2094	103	C, L	WG, by consistent discriminant analysis analysis and inspection, badly potted. Possible BM II component present also.	WG, BM II?	1848
WJ	5	no sites								
WJ	6	1	4225		29-67	39	L	No limestone, no diagnostic lithics, no ceramics, small.	?	
WJ	6	2	4226		68-128	61	L	No limestone, no diagnostic lithics, but large point fragment, no structures. BM II?	BM II?	2160
WJ	6	3	4227		129-150	22	C,L	9 Pueblo sherds, no diagnostic lithics, ? Pueblo	? Pueblo	
WJ	6	4	4228		151-312	162	C, L	RH, by original seriation, small site associated with "dam"	RH	
WJ	7	2	4229		26-54	29	C, L	7 sherds, no classified lithics, structure. MV white ware all.	RH, WS?	
WJ	7	3	4230		55-86	32	C, L	Only 3 sherds, on part of the site nearest (~40M) 7-4. Limestone, no diagnostic lithics. Hearth, ??	?	
WJ	7	4	4231		124-809	686	C, L	WS, based on original seriation.	WS, BM II?	2996
WJ	8	1	4232		8-41	33	L	Fairly good evidence of BM II. One chunk limestone, no ceramics, one large point fragment, grinding stone fragment.	BM II	390
WJ	9	2	4233		37-1462, 1890-1891	1428	C, L	WS. Squares A1 and A2, plus site 2A, Fea. A. BM II well separated and concentrated in squares C3 and C4.	BM II, WS	

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	9	2A	4233	Off quad WJ9	1463-1684	222	C, L	WS, see notes above.	WS	
WJ	9	4	4234		1685-1793	109	L	One handed manos, no ceramics, presence of limestone, one large point, BM II	BM II	391
WJ	9	5	4235		1794-1854	61	L	Only 50M from site 4. No ceramics, limestone, general BM type pattern of lithics.	BM II	588
WJ	9	6	4236		1855-1889	35	L	Limestone, no ceramics, drill fragment, large corner-notched point, slab hearths. Not far from sites 4 and 5.	BM II	560
WJ	10	1	4237		133-632	500	L	Limestone, no ceramics, lots of lithics, some T-drills. Extends off quad, that area not collected.	BM II	7560
WJ	10	2	4238		633-1028, 1223-1224	398	L	No ceramics. No limestone reported. Large corner-notched point, and several large biface fragments.	BM II	3100
WJ	10	3	4239		1029-1116	88	L	One small corner notched point, could be BM II. No ceramics. No limestone reported.	BM II?	494
WJ	10	4	4240		1117-1222	106	L	T-drill, large point fragment.	BM II	3150
WJ	11	1	4241		52-117	66	C, L	WG, based on fairly consistent discriminant analysis results, plus inspection. Should be combined with sites 3 to 3A.	WG	
WJ	11	2	4242		118-258	141	C, L, O	Limestone, lithic pattern, virtual absence of ceramics indicate BM II.	BM II	330
WJ	11	3	4243		259-492	234	C, L	Definitely BM III. WG, based on fairly consistent discriminant analysis results plus inspection. Same as sites 1 and 3A.	WG, BM III	6668

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	11	3A	4243	Outside quad 11	493-982	490	C, L	Definitely BM III. WG, based on fairly consistent discriminant analysis results plus inspection. Same as sites 1 and 3.	WG, BM III	
WJ	11	4	4244		983	1	L, O	Only one artifact. Slab hearth, limestone present.	??	
WJ	12	1	4245		124-134	11	C, L	Probably a continuation of site WJ 12- 4.		460
WJ	12	4	4246		135-1112	978	C, L	WG, based on original seriation. Fairly good BM III component here.	WG, BM III	4350
WJ	12	5	4247		1113-1136	24	C, L	Probably transition between sites 6 and 7. Appears to have pottery characteristics of both.	?	
WJ	12	6	4248		1137-1670	534	C, L	Definite BM III. A few Pueblo sherds here, probably float from Site 7. Fea. C was sub-sampled, at about 50% rate.	BM III	4141
WJ	12	7	4249		1671-1996	326	C, L	WG, based on consistent discriminant analysis results. Only a small amount of BM III stuff here despite proximity of Site 6.	WG	
WJ	12	8	4250		1997-2003A	8	C, L	Little material here.	Pueblo ?	
WJ	12	8A	4250	Outside quad 12	2004	1	C	Continuation of site.	Pueblo ?	
WJ	12	9	4251		2005-2022, 2034-2035	17	C, L	Probable BM II on basis of limestone, T-drill, biface fragments.	BM II	520
WJ	12	9A	4251	Outside quad 12	2023-2033	11	L	Extension of site 9.	BM II	
WJ	13	1	4252		32-8027, 8064	7997	C, L	Only 26 sherds, in two tight clusters. Very large BM II site.	BM II, ? Pueblo	14350

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	13	3	4253		8032-8063	32	L	Limestone, no pottery. Possible BM II.	BM II?	697
WJ	14	no sites								
WJ	15	1	4254		14-143	131	C, L	BM II component, based on stemmed point, limestone, and possibly petroglyph style. Pueblo component focused on wall and sherds, possibly petroglyphs.	? Pueblo, BM II?	625
WJ	15	1A	4254	outside quad 15	144-168	25	L	Continuation of site 1.	? Pueblo BM II?	
WJ	15	2	4255		170-351	182	C, L	corrugated and plain gray sherds concentrated near wall structure. Rest of site is lithic. limestone and large point fragment present. Plain gray may be Moenkopi.	? Pueblo, BM II?	1219
WJ	15	3	4256		352-1254	903	L	Limestone, no sherds, large point fragments, lots of lithics. Probably BM II. Notes suggest this may be lithic workshop.	BM II	2304
WJ	15	4	4257		1255-1342	88	L	Limestone, no diagnostic lithics. Probably like 15-3. Most likely BM II	BM II?	90
WJ	16	1	4258		53-72	20	C, L	13 sherds, no structures. Most likely WG, CH based on inspection.	WG, CH ?	
WJ	16	3	4260		73-254	182	C, L	WG, CH Pueblo? Based on inconsistent discriminant analysis results. Inspection suggests WG most likely.	WG	
WJ	16	4	4261		255-312	58	C, L	May be BM III, some Pueblo material as well. BM III would be related to site 5.	BM III? Pueblo?	500
WJ	16	5	4262		313-338	27	C, L	Definitely BM III. Many BM III sherds from a location between 5 and 6.	BM III	475

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	16	6	4263		339-833	495	C, L	WS, based on consistent discriminant analysis results, plus inspection. Possibly lithics component.	WS	5198
WJ	17	1	4264		1-77	77	C, L	RH, based on consistent discriminant analysis results. Appears to be single component.	RH	
WJ	17	2	4265		78-80	3	L	Possible Archaic point base mentioned in notes. Best evidence too slight to allow classification of this site.	?	
WJ	17	3	4266		81-168, 195-230	124	L	limestone present, lithic pattern for BM II, no ceramics.	BM II	2809
WJ	17	4	4267		169-194	26	L	No ceramics and respectable sized collection when combined with 4A. Limestone appears abundant.	BM II	2850
WJ	17	4A	4267	Outside quad 17	231-295	65	L	Continuation of site 4.	BM II	
WJ	18	1	4268		no entries		petroglyph	Petroglyph panels.	?	
WJ	19	1	4269		9-88	80	L	Limestone, no ceramics, large point fragment.	BM II	4675
WJ	19	2	4270		89-320	232	L	Possible BM II house, limestone, no ceramics, large point fragments, generally BM lithic pattern for BM II.	BM II	1189
WJ	19	3	4271		321-730 1154	391	L	BM II habitation/storage complex. Limestone, no ceramics, lots of lithics, large corner notched point, T-drills, etc. Probably related to 19-2.	BM II	6100
WJ	19	4	4272		731-783	53	L	No ceramics, corner notched points, basin metate. No limestone noted.	BM II	812
WJ	19	5-6	4273		784-929	46	L	Limestone, no ceramics, large corner-notched points.	BM II	1920

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]

Drainage Unit	Quad, etc.	Site No.	42SA No.	Add'l Info	FS Catalog Run	FS Totals	Type Items Collected	Phase Assignments and Comments	Phase	Site Area (M2)
WJ	19	7	4274		930-978	49	L	Limestone, no ceramics, lots of cores? Check print-out for error.	BM II?	1350
WJ	19	8	4275		979-1153	175	L	Good BM II lithics and limestone.	BM II	2350
WJ	20	1	4276		2-129	128	L	Limestone, diagnostic BM II points.	BM II	754
WJ	20	2	4277		130-198	69	L	Limestone, diagnostic BM II points. Slab feature.	BM II	3555
WJ	20	2A	4277	Outside quad 20	199-206	7	L	Part of 2A.	BM II	
WJ	21	1	4276		5-49	45	L	Limestone, no structures, BM II?	BM II?	391
WJ	21	2	4279		no entries		petroglyph	Petroglyph/pictograph panel, mainly hands.	??	
WJ	22	1	4280		15-99, 101-442	426	L	Limestone, typical BM II lithics.	BM II	17328
WJ	22	1A	4280	Outside quad	100	1	L	Continuation of Site 1.	BM II	
WJ	22	2	4281		443-533	91	L	Limestone, lithics, no structures. OK for BM II.	BM II	1296

[Matson, Lipe and Haase 1990, Appendix C, Table 1.]

[January 5, 2011]